

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

ZHIHAO LIN
14 KEHOE COURT
PRINCETON JUNCTION NJ 08550

COPY MAILED

JUL 1 7 2008

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of

He et al.

Application Number: 10/579597

ON PETITION

Filing Date: 05/17/2006 ::

Attorney Docket Number: OCT 001

This is a decision on the "REQUEST TO WITHDRAW THE HOLDING OF ABANDONMENT UNDER 37 CFR 1.181," filed on June 19, 2008, which is treated as a petition to withdraw the holding of abandonment.

The petition is DISMISSED.

This application became abandoned on February 21, 2008, for failure to timely submit the issue and publication fees in response to the Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due mailed on November 20, 2007, which set a three (3) month statutory period for reply. On February 21, 2008, the issue and publication fees were filed. On March 18, 2008, a Notice of Abandonment was mailed, stating that the fees had been received after the expiration of the statutory period for their payment. A letter was filed on April 2, 2008, and a decision in response to the letter was mailed on June 9, 2008.

The subject petition, signed by all of the inventors, was filed on June 19, 2008. Petitioners assert that the fees were timely filed on February 14, 2008, and have presented a priority mail signature confirmation sheet allegedly showing that the fee payment was mailed on February 14, 2008.

The U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (Office) file is the official record of the papers filed in this application. A review of the papers filed reveals that no reply to the Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due mailed on November 20, 2007, was timely received in the USPTO. Rather, the showing of record is that

the fee payment was filed on February 21, 2008, which is after the due date for reply. An applicant alleging that a paper was timely filed in the Office and later misplaced has the burden of proving the allegation by a preponderance of the evidence. The fact that petitioner believes that the reply was (or should have been) timely received in the Office, is not more persuasive than the date the papers are shown to have been received in the official file.

In this regard, it is noted that there is no provision in the rules or statutes for according the date that a paper is deposited in the USPS as certified or priority mail as date the paper is filed in the Office.

Further, in this regard, petitioners could have utilized the Certificate of Mailing procedure described at 37 CFR 1.8. Had petitioners properly followed the aforementioned procedure, petitioner could have avoided the predicament which has now arisen. It is petitioner's burden to supply, under the rules of practice, sufficient evidence to establish that the reply asserted to have been deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on February 14, 2008, was actually entrusted to the U.S. Postal Service on that date. See Krahn v. Comm'r, 15 USPQ2d 1823, 1825 (D.C. E.D. Va. 1990). At best, the showing of record reveals petitioners' intent to timely reply to the Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due.

As petitioners have not provided persuasive evidence that that the issue and publication fee payment was timely filed, the petition must be dismissed.

ALTERNATIVE VENUE

Petitioners may wish to consider filing a renewed petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), which now provides that where the delay in reply was unintentional, a petition may be filed to revive an abandoned application or a lapsed patent pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b). A grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

(1) the required reply, unless previously filed. In a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing application. In a nonprovisional utility or plant application filed on or after 8 June, 1995, and abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may also be met by the filing of a request for continued examination in compliance with § 1.114. In

an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof. In an application, abandoned for failure to pay the publication fee, the required reply must include payment of the publication fee.

- (2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);
- (3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. The Director may required additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and
- (4) any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(c)).

The filing of a petition under the unintentional standard cannot be intentionally delayed and therefore should be filed promptly. A person seeking revival due to unintentional delay cannot make a statement that the delay was unintentional unless the entire delay, including the delay from the date it was discovered that the application was abandoned until the filing of the petition to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(b), was unintentional. A statement that the delay was unintentional is not appropriate if petitioners intentionally delayed the filing of a petition for revival under 37 CFR 1.137(b).

Should petitioners decide to file a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), a petition fee of \$770.00 (small entity) (or \$1,540.00 if petitioners no longer qualify for small entity status) will be due.

A copy of the form for filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) to revive an application unintentionally abandoned is enclosed herewith for petitioners' convenience.

Any request for reconsideration must be filed within TWO MONTHS of the date of this decision. This period may not be extended.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail: Mail Stop Petition
Commissioner for Patents

^{1 37} CFR 1.181(f).

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By FAX:

(571) 273-8300

Attn: Office of Petitions

By hand:

Customer Service Window

Mail Stop Petition Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

Telephone inquiries related to this decision should be directed to the undersigned at 571-272-3231.

Dood

Douglas I. Wood Senior Petitions Attorney Office of Petitions

Encl:

PTO/\$B/64

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

| PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN APPLICATION FOR PATENT ABANDONED UNINTENTIONALLY UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(b) Docket Number (Optional) | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|
| First named | inventor: | | | |
| Application I | No.: | Art Unit: | | |
| Filed: | | Examiner: | | |
| Title: | | | , | |
| Mail Stop P Commission P.O. Box 14 | ner for Patents 50 VA 22313-1450 | | | |
| NOTE: If information or assistance is needed in completing this form, please contact Petitions Information at (571) 272-3282. | | | | |
| The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to file a timely and proper reply to a notice or action by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. The date of abandonment is the day after the expiration date of the period set for reply in the office notice or action plus an extensions of time actually obtained. | | | | |
| APPLICANT HEREBY PETITIONS FOR REVIVAL OF THIS APPLICATION | | | | |
| | NOTE: A grantable petition requires the following ite (1) Petition fee; (2) Reply and/or issue fee; (3) Terminal disclaimer with disclaimer fee - filed before June 8, 1995; and for all des (4) Statement that the entire delay was unin | required for all utili ign applications; ar | | |
| 1.Petition fee Small entity-fee \$ (37 CFR 1.17(m)). Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27. Other than small entity – fee \$ (37 CFR 1.17(m)) | | | | |
| 2. Reply and/or fee A. The reply and/or fee to the above-noted Office action in the form of(identify type of reply): | | | | |
| | has been filed previously on is enclosed herewith. | · | | |
| В. | The issue fee and publication fee (if applicable) of \$ has been paid previously on is enclosed herewith. | · | | |

[Page 1 of 2]
This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.137(b). The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 1.0 hour to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any

PTO/SB/64 (01-08)
Approved for use through 07/31/2008. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

| 3. Ter | minal disclaimer with disclaimer fee | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| | Since this utility/plant application was filed o | on or after June 8, 1995, no terminal disclaimer is required. | | | |
| | A terminal disclaimer (and disclaimer fee (37 CFR 1.20(d)) of \$ for a small entity or \$ for other than a small entity) disclaiming the required period of time is enclosed herewith (see PTO/SB/63). | | | | |
| filin Tra aba | g of a grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.137(demark Office may require additional informat | red reply from the due date for the required reply until the (b) was unintentional. [NOTE: The United States Patent and tion if there is a question as to whether either the er 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional (MPEP 711.03(c), | | | |
| | V | VARNING: | | | |
| contribution number the USPTO to the of the of a pareferer | eute to identity theft. Personal information such ers (other than a check or credit card authorization EPTO to support a petition or an application. If this O, petitioners/applicants should consider redacting USPTO. Petitioner/applicant is advised that the re application (unless a non-publication request in co- atent. Furthermore, the record from an abandone aced in a published application or an issued patent | sonal information in documents filed in a patent application that may as social security numbers, bank account numbers, or credit card form PTO-2038 submitted for payment purposes) is never required by type of personal information is included in documents submitted to the such personal information from the documents before submitting them ecord of a patent application is available to the public after publication mpliance with 37 CFR 1.213(a) is made in the application) or issuance ed application may also be available to the public if the application is (see 37 CFR 1.14). Checks and credit card authorization forms PTO-the application file and therefore are not publicly available. | | | |
| | Signature | Date | | | |
| | Signature | Date | | | |
| | Typed or printed name | Registration Number, if applicable | | | |
| | Address | Telephone Number | | | |
| | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |
| Enc | Address Iosures: Fee Payment | | | | |
| LIIC | osures ree r ayment | | | | |
| | Reply | • | | | |
| | Terminal Disclaimer Form | | | | |
| | Additional sheets containing sta | tements establishing unintentional delay | | | |
| | Additional sheets containing statements establishing unintentional delay | | | | |
| | Other: | | | | |
| Г | CERTIFICATE OF MAILIN | NG OR TRANSMISSION [37 CFR 1.8(a)] | | | |
| - 11 | hereby certify that this correspondence is beir | | | | |
| | | stal Service on the date shown below with sufficient elope addressed to: Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for | | | |
| - 1 | Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, | VA 22313-1450. | | | |
| - 1 | Office at (571) 273-8300. | shown below to the United States Patent and Trademark | | | |
| - 1 | | | | | |
| l | Date | Signature | | | |
| | | Typed or printed name of person signing certificate | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Privacy Act Statement

The Privacy Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-579) requires that you be given certain information in connection with your submission of the attached form related to a patent application or patent. Accordingly, pursuant to the requirements of the Act, please be advised that: (1) the general authority for the collection of this information is 35 U.S.C. 2(b)(2); (2) furnishing of the information solicited is voluntary; and (3) the principal purpose for which the information is used by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is to process and/or examine your submission related to a patent application or patent. If you do not furnish the requested information, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office may not be able to process and/or examine your submission, which may result in termination of proceedings or abandonment of the application or expiration of the patent.

The information provided by you in this form will be subject to the following routine uses:

- The information on this form will be treated confidentially to the extent allowed under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552) and the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C 552a). Records from this system of records may be disclosed to the Department of Justice to determine whether disclosure of these records is required by the Freedom of Information Act.
- 2. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, in the course of presenting evidence to a court, magistrate, or administrative tribunal, including disclosures to opposing counsel in the course of settlement negotiations.
- A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Member of Congress submitting a request involving an individual, to whom the record pertains, when the individual has requested assistance from the Member with respect to the subject matter of the record.
- 4. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a contractor of the Agency having need for the information in order to perform a contract. Recipients of information shall be required to comply with the requirements of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(m).
- A record related to an International Application filed under the Patent Cooperation Treaty in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the International Bureau of the World Intellectual Property Organization, pursuant to the Patent Cooperation Treaty.
- 6. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to another federal agency for purposes of National Security review (35 U.S.C. 181) and for review pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 218(c)).
- 7. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the Administrator, General Services, or his/her designee, during an inspection of records conducted by GSA as part of that agency's responsibility to recommend improvements in records management practices and programs, under authority of 44 U.S.C. 2904 and 2906. Such disclosure shall be made in accordance with the GSA regulations governing inspection of records for this purpose, and any other relevant (i.e., GSA or Commerce) directive. Such disclosure shall not be used to make determinations about individuals.
- 8. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the public after either publication of the application pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 122(b) or issuance of a patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 151. Further, a record may be disclosed, subject to the limitations of 37 CFR 1.14, as a routine use, to the public if the record was filed in an application which became abandoned or in which the proceedings were terminated and which application is referenced by either a published application, an application open to public inspection or an issued patent.
- A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Federal, State, or local law enforcement agency, if the USPTO becomes aware of a violation or potential violation of law or regulation.